

**Subject:** Re: CPRA request (LAATTY.2020.02.01.a)  
**From:** Bethelwel Wilson <bethelwel.wilson@lacity.org>  
**Date:** 2/7/20, 11:29 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**CC:** Frank Mateljan <frank.mateljan@lacity.org>

Good Morning [REDACTED]

After conducting an exhaustive search, the office has no documents in its possession responsive to this request.

Regards,

On Sat, Feb 1, 2020 at 6:42 AM <[adrian@123mail.org](mailto:adrian@123mail.org)> wrote:

Good morning, Mr. Wilson.

Thanks again for sending a complete copy of Mr. Cristall's and Ms. Forman-Echols's article on Project T.O.U.G.H. On page 5 of the article, which is page 6 in the PDF page numbering, the authors state that "In most instances, the abatement of the nuisance at the property has a ripple effect, positively improving the surrounding neighborhood."

I am interested in any records, which is to say any materials having a physical existence in the world outside the heads of the authors, in the possession of the City Attorney's office that contain information supporting this claim. These might include, but not be limited to, such things as records showing any of the following:

1. statistics on instances of abatements of the nuisances having a ripple effect supporting the use of the word "most"
2. measurably defining the phrase "positively improving"
3. measurably defining the "surrounding neighborhood" with respect to a property
4. any other factual or quasi-factual basis for this claim

I think that the CPRA's requirement that an adequate search for records responsive to this request would be completely satisfied if Mr. Cristall and Ms. Forman-Echols were to be asked if they have or have had any records that tend to support the truth of this sentence.

thanks!

[REDACTED]

--